arXiv:1210.4217v1 [math.NT] 15 Oct 2012

ON THE COMPOSITUM OF ALL DEGREE d EXTENSIONS OF A NUMBER FIELD

Itamar Gal and Robert Grizzard*

Department of Mathematics, The University of Texas at Austin
October 17, 2012

Abstract. Let k be a number field and fix a positive integer d. Let $k^{[d]}$ denote the compositum of all degree d extensions of k. Such infinite algebraic extensions have been studied by Bombieri and Zannier, Checcoli, and Checcoli and Widmer (see [3], [4], and [5]), originating from questions about the Northcott property. We consider the question of whether there is a constant c such that any finite subextension K of $k^{[d]}$ can be generated by elements of degree at most c over k. We show that such a constant exists if and only if $d \leq 2$. The question becomes more interesting when one restricts attention to Galois extensions K/k. We are able to answer the question in the negative when d is even or non-squarefree (the latter following an idea from [4]), and in the affirmative when d is prime (this proof uses the Classification Theorem for Finite Simple Groups).

1. Introduction

Let k be a field. Throughout this paper, all extensions of k will be assumed to lie in a fixed algebraic closure $\overline{k}$. We are interested in fields obtained by adjoining to k all roots of irreducible polynomials of a given degree d. For any positive integer d we will write

$$k^{[d]} = k(\beta : [k(\beta) : k] = d), \text{ and} \tag{1}$$

$$k^{(d)} = k(\beta : [k(\beta) : k] \leq d) = k^{[2]}k^{[3]}k^{[4]} \cdots k^{[d]}. \tag{2}$$

We have $k^{[1]} = k^{(1)} = k$, and when $d > 1$ it is clear that $k^{[d]}$ and $k^{(d)}$ are Galois extensions of k. We are primarily interested in the case where k is a number field, in which case these are infinite Galois extensions. When $d > 2$ it is natural to ask what polynomials of degree less than d split in $k^{[d]}$. If $c < d$ and all irreducible polynomials of degree c split in $k^{[d]}$, then $k^{[c]} \subseteq k^{[d]}$. If all polynomials of degree less than d split in $k^{[d]}$, then $k^{[d]} = k^{(d)}$. We will prove the following results along these lines.

Theorem 1. *If k is a number field* [†]*, then*

- *(a) $k^{[2]} \subseteq k^{[d]}$ for all $d \geq 2$,*
- *(b) $k^{[3]} \subseteq k^{[4]}$,*
- *(c) $k^{[c]} \subseteq k^{[d]}$ whenever $c|d$, and*
- *(d) for each $d \geq 5$, there exists a prime $p < d$ such that $k^{[p]} \not\subseteq k^{[d]}$.*

Parts (a) and (b) follow from some well-known solutions to simple embedding problems over number fields. We give original proofs of some of these results, but these results are fundamentally a restatement of established facts. Our main contribution in this theorem is part (d). The following corollary is immediate.

Corollary 1. *If k is a number field, then $k^{[d]} = k^{(d)}$ if and only if $d < 5$.*

---

[*] The author's research was partially supported by a grant from the National Security Agency, H98230-12-1-0254.

[†] Many of our results contain the hypothesis that k is a number field, or similar. However, the astute reader will notice after reading the proofs that this hypothesis could be replaced with more technical restrictions on the field k – specifically, that certain embedding problems have solutions over k.

Proof. It is trivial that $k^{[2]} = k^{(2)}$. By (a) we have $k^{[3]} = k^{(3)}$, and by (a) and (b) we have $k^{[4]} = k^{(4)}$. That $k^{[d]} \neq k^{(d)}$ for $d \geq 5$ follows from (d). $\square$

Definition 1. *We say an infinite extension M of k is* bounded over k *(or that M/k is* bounded*) if there exists a constant c such that all finite subextensions of M/k can be generated by elements of degree less than or equal to c. If there is no such c, we say that M/k is* unbounded.

If all finite Galois subextensions of M/k can be generated by elements of degree less than or equal to c, we say M/k is Galois bounded*; otherwise we say M/k is* Galois unbounded.

Note that boundedness is a stronger condition than Galois boundedness, while Galois unboundedness is stronger than unboundedness.

It is not difficult to prove the following theorem (a version was proved in [4]). We will recall its proof at the beginning of section 8.

Theorem 2. *Let k be any field, and let d be a positive integer. Then $k^{(d)}_{\mathrm{ab}}$ (the maximal abelian subextension of $k^{(d)}/k$) is bounded over k. More precisely, all finite abelian subextensions of $k^{(d)}/k$ are generated by elements of degree at most d.*

The following theorems summarize our results on boundedness and Galois boundedness.

Theorem 3. *If k is a number field or global function field, then $k^{[d]}$ is bounded over k if and only if $d \leq 2$.*

Theorem 4. *If k is any field and p is a prime, then $k^{[p]}$ is Galois bounded over k. More precisely, all finite subextensions of $k^{[p]}/k$ can be generated by elements of degree at most p over k.*

Theorem 5. *Let k be a number field or global function field, and let $d > 2$ be an integer.*

(a) If d is not squarefree, then $k^{[d]}/k$ is Galois unbounded.

(b) If d is even, then $k^{[d]}/k$ is Galois unbounded.

Theorem 4 is an immediate corollary of Theorem 8, a purely group theoretic statement, which we state and prove in section 8. This proof relies on the Classification Theorem for Finite Simple Groups.

If K/k is a finite extension, then $K \subseteq k^{[d]}$ if and only if K is contained in some Galois extension L/k, where L is the splitting field of $f_1(x) \cdots f_n(x)$, with $f_i(x)$ an irreducible polynomial of degree d for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. We call such an extension L a *degree d compositum over k*. For each i let G_i be the Galois group of f_i. Each group G_i is a *transitive group of degree d*, i.e. G_i acts faithfully and transitively on a set with d elements. Notice that G is a *subdirect product* of $G_1 \times \cdots \times G_n$, meaning a subgroup of the direct product whose projection onto each factor is surjective. We will often write $G \leq_{sd} G_1 \times \cdots \times G_n$ to describe such a relation.

This observation and its converse are summarized by the following proposition.

Proposition 1. *Let L/k be a finite Galois extension of fields. Then L is generated by elements of degree d over k if and only if* $\mathrm{Gal}(L/k)$ *is isomorphic to a subdirect product of transitive groups of degree d.*

Proof. That the first statement implies the second has already been explained, so all that remains is to prove the converse.

For each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ let G_i be a transitive group of degree d, acting transitively on the set $\{(i, 1), \dots (i, d)\}$. Let $G \leq_{sd} G_1 \times \cdots \times G_n$. If L/k is a Galois extension of fields with $\mathrm{Gal}(L/k) \cong G$, then we must prove that L is generated over k by elements of degree d.

Notice that $\bigcap_{i,j} \mathrm{Stab}_G(i, j) = 1$. From the given action of G on the points $\{(i, j)\}_{i,j}$, we have $[G : \mathrm{Stab}_G(i, j)] = |\operatorname{Orb}_G(i, j)| = d$. The fixed fields in L of the groups $\{\mathrm{Stab}_G(i, j)\}_{i,j}$ are therefore degree d extensions of k, and hence they are each generated over k by an element of degree d. Since the subgroups had trivial intersection, the compositum of these fixed fields is all of L. □

After these observations, we have two goals. First, we wish to understand some key facts about the subgroup structure of subdirect products of transitive groups of a fixed degree d. Second, we must attempt to realize certain group theoretic constructions in the Galois groups of extensions of k. These ideas are discussed in section 5. Other background material will be covered in sections 2, 3, and 4. Our theorems will be proved in sections 6, 7, and 8.

2. Some finite groups

We will use the following notation throughout.

- We write C_n for the cyclic group of order n.
- We write V for the Klein 4-group, which is isomorphic to $C_2 \times C_2$.
- We write D_n for the dihedral group of order $2n$, i.e. the symmetries of the regular n-gon.
- We write S_n for the symmetric group of order $n!$, i.e. the permutations of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, and $A_n \leq S_n$ the alternating group.
- We write H_p for the finite Heisenberg group of order p^3, when p is a prime. This group is defined as the multiplicative group of upper triangular matrices of the form
$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & c \\ 0 & 1 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$
with a, b, and c belonging to the finite field $\mathbb{F}_p$.

The group H_p plays an important role in our Galois unboundedness results. We review some of its properties. First, H_p has a natural action on a three-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{F}_p$. Analyzing this action, it is easy to see that when an element of H_p acts on a vector, the third coordinate is fixed, and H_p acts faithfully and transitively on a 2-dimensional subspace, which has p^2 elements. Thus we see that H_p is isomorphic to a transitive subgroup of S_{p^2}.

The group H_p is an *extraspecial p-group*, meaning its center, commutator, and Frattini subgroups coincide and have order p. For our purposes the only relevant fact is that the commutator subgroup is cyclic of order p. We can construct larger extraspecial p-groups as follows. Let n be a positive integer, and inside of the direct product H_p^n consider the normal subgroup

$$N = \{(z_1^{a_1}, \ldots, z_n^{a_n}) : \Sigma_{i=1}^n a_i \equiv 0 \pmod{p}\}, \tag{3}$$

where z_i generates the center of the i^{th} copy of H_p. The quotient H_p^n/N is an extraspecial p-group of order p^{2n+1} and exponent p, which we will denote by $E_{p,n}$. It is not important for our purposes, but when $p > 2$ this is the *unique* extraspecial p-group of this order and exponent.

The following lemma can be found in [4], and follows from some basic facts about extraspecial p-groups (see for example [1] and [8]).

Lemma 1. *The intersection of all subgroups of index less than p^n in $E_{p,n}$ contains the commutator subgroup. In particular, this intersection is nontrivial.*

S. Checcoli used this fact in [4] to show that, for a number field k, the extension $k^{(d)}/k$ is not in general Galois bounded. However, the author was not concerned with the question of which values of d suffered from this pathology, nor with the more general question of the boundedness of $k^{[d]}/k$. The use of extraspecial p-groups remains our only tool for proving that extensions are Galois unbounded. We will show how this is done in section 7.

In order to prove theorem 4, we will invoke the Classification Theorem for Finite Simple Groups (see [27], p. 3). Briefly, the theorem states that a nonabelian finite simple group is isomorphic to either an alternating group, one of 26 “sporadic” simple groups, or a finite simple group of “Lie type.” All of the finite simple groups of Lie type are listed in Tables 2 and 3, along with the relevant properties. In all cases these groups arise from groups of matrices with entries lying in a finite field of order q, where q is a prime power. For the finite simple groups, we adhere to the notational conventions of [27].

3. FIBERED PRODUCTS OF GROUPS

Suppose that G_1 and G_2 are groups, that $\mathcal{G} = G_1 \times G_2$ is their direct product, and that $H \leq \mathcal{G}$ is a subgroup of $\mathcal{G}$. Let $\pi_i : \mathcal{G} \to G_i$ denote the projection maps. The direct product decomposition of $\mathcal{G}$ associates to H the projections $H_i := \pi_i(H)$ and the intersections $H \cap G_i$. Notice that the intersection subgroups are normal in the projections, i.e. $H \cap G_i \trianglelefteq H_i$. Moreover, considering H as a relation on the Cartesian product $H_1 \times H_2$, we see that H induces a group isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_H : {}^{H_1}/_{H\cap G_1} &\to {}^{H_2}/_{H\cap G_2}, \\ h_1 N_1 &\mapsto h_2 N_2. \end{aligned}$$

Alternatively, suppose that $H_1 \leq G_1, H_2 \leq G_2$ are any two subgroups of G_1, G_2, that $N_1 \trianglelefteq H_1, N_2 \trianglelefteq H_2$ are two normal subgroups of H_1, H_2, and that $\tau : H_1/N_1 \to H_2/N_2$ is a group isomorphism. Then we can define a subgroup of $\mathcal{G}$ by

$$H_{(H_1,H_2,N_1,N_2,\tau)} := \{(h_1, h_2) \in H_1 \times H_2 \mid \tau(h_1 N_1) = h_2 N_2\} \tag{4}$$

Thus we obtain maps

$$\begin{aligned} H &\longmapsto (\pi_1(H), \pi_2(H), H \cap G_1, H \cap G_2, \tau_H) \\ H_{(H_1,H_2,N_1,N_2,\tau)} &\longleftarrow\!\shortmid (H_1, H_2, N_1, N_2, \tau). \end{aligned}$$

The interested reader can verify that these two maps are inverses of each other.

Definition 2 (fibered product)**.** *Let H_1, H_2 and Q be groups, and let $\alpha_1 : H_1 \to Q$ and $\alpha_2 : H_2 \to Q$ be surjective group homomorphisms. We define the fibered product of H_1 with H_2 over Q (with respect to the maps α_1 and α_2) to be the subgroup $H_1 \times_Q H_2$ of the direct product $H_1 \times H_2$ given by*

$$H_1 \times_Q H_2 = \{(h_1, h_2) \in H_1 \times H_2 : \alpha_1(h_1) = \alpha_2(h_2)\}.$$

It follows immediately from the definition that

$$|H_1 \times_Q H_2| = \frac{|H_1| \cdot |H_2|}{|Q|}. \tag{5}$$

Notice that if $H \leq G_1 \times G_2$ and we set $Q := H_2/(H \cap G_2), \alpha_1 := \tau_H \circ \pi_1, \alpha_2 := \pi_2$, then we have that $H = H_1 \times_Q H_2$ is the fibered product of H_1 with H_2 over Q with respect to α_1 and α_2. Thus we can equivalently parameterize the subgroups of $\mathcal{G}$ by tuples $(H_1, H_2, N_1, N_2, \tau)$ as above, or by tuples $(H_1, H_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, Q)$. Moreover, it should be immediately obvious that every fibered product is a subdirect product of its factors, i.e. that $H_1 \times_Q H_2 \leq_{sd} H_1 \times H_2$. It follows that we have proved the following well-known theorem.

Lemma 2 (Goursat's Lemma)**.** *Let H_1 and H_2 be groups, and for $i \in \{1, 2\}$ let $\pi_i : H_1 \times H_2 \to H_i$ be the projection map. Then the set of subdirect products of $H_1 \times H_2$ is equal to the set of fibered products $H_1 \times_Q H_2$. In particular, every subdirect product of $H_1 \times H_2$ is of the form $H_1 \times_Q H_2$.*

We now consider the following more general situation. Let $\mathcal{G} = G_1 \times G_2$ as before, and suppose that $K \leq G \leq \mathcal{G}$. Let H_1, H_2 and K_1, K_2 denote the canonical projections of H and K onto the factors G_1, G_2. We would like to describe the relationship between the subgroups $K \leq H$ in terms of the parameterizations described in the proof of Goursat's

Lemma. In particular, we would like conditions which determine when $K \trianglelefteq H$. Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H_1 \arrow[r] & H_1/H\cap G_1 \arrow[r, "\tau_H"] & H_2/H\cap G_2 & H_2 \arrow[l] \\
K_1 \arrow[u, hook] \arrow[r] & K_1/K\cap G_1 \arrow[u, dashed] \arrow[r, "\tau_K"] & K_2/K\cap G_2 \arrow[u, dashed] & K_2 \arrow[u, hook] \arrow[l]
\end{tikzcd} \tag{6}$$

Suppose that $K \trianglelefteq H$. Clearly we must have that $K_1 \trianglelefteq H_1$ and $K_2 \trianglelefteq H_2$. Furthermore, notice that

$$\tau_K([h_1, k_1]) = [\tau_H(h_1), \tau_K(k_1)], \tag{7}$$

for all $h_1 \in H_1$ and all $k_1 \in K_1$. It turns out that these conditions are also sufficient for the normality of K in H. In the special case that $H = H_1 \times H_2$, the condition (7) is equivalent to the condition that $K \cap G_i \trianglelefteq H_i$, and

$$K_i/K\cap G_i \subseteq Z(H_i/K\cap G_i), \tag{8}$$

for $i = 1, 2$. This condition was noted in [22], chapter 8, where the author discusses the subject in depth.

The following definition and proposition will simplify an argument in section 8.

Definition 3 (saturated subgroups)**.** *Let $G_1, \ldots, G_n$ be groups, and let $G \leq G_1 \times \cdots \times G_n$. For $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, let $\pi_i : G_1 \times \cdots G_n \to G_i$ be the projection map. A subgroup $H \leq G$ is called* saturated *if*

$$H = G \cap (\pi_1(H) \times \cdots \times \pi_n(H)). \tag{9}$$

Using Goursat's Lemma, it is trivial to prove the following.

Proposition 2. *Let $T_1, \ldots, T_n$ be nonabelian simple groups, and let $G \leq_{sd} T_1 \times \cdots \times T_n$. If N is a normal subgroup of G, then N is saturated in G.*

We conclude this section with another Lemma which will be applied in section 8.

Lemma 3. *Let $H_1 = T_1 \rtimes B_1$ and $H_2 = T_2 \rtimes B_2$ be semidirect products of groups, and let $H = H_1 \times_{Q,\alpha_1,\alpha_2} H_2$. Let*

$$\begin{aligned} T &= H \cap (T_1 \times T_2) = T_1 \times_R T_2 \text{ and} \\ B &= H \cap (B_1 \times B_2) = B_1 \times_S B_2, \end{aligned}$$

where $R = \alpha_2(T_2)$ and $S = \alpha_2(B_2)$. If $R \cap S = 1$, then $H = T \rtimes B$. In other words,

$$(T_1 \rtimes B_1) \times_Q (T_2 \rtimes B_2) = (T_1 \times_{\alpha_2(T_2)} T_2) \rtimes (B_1 \times_{\alpha_2(B_2)} B_2). \tag{10}$$

Proof. By construction we have that $T \rtimes B \leq H$. Let $R = \alpha_2(T_2)$ and $S = \alpha_2(B_2)$. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} |H| &= \frac{|H_1| \cdot |H_2|}{|Q|}, \text{ while} \\ |T \rtimes B| &= \frac{|T_1| \cdot |T_2|}{|R|} \cdot \frac{|B_1| \cdot |B_2|}{|S|} = \frac{|H_1| \cdot |H_2|}{|R| \cdot |S|}. \end{aligned}$$

Now if $R \cap S = 1$, we have $|R| \cdot |S| \leq |\langle R, S\rangle| \leq |Q|$, so we conclude that $|H| \leq |T \rtimes B|$. □

4. Transitive permutation groups

In this section we provide some general background material on transitive permutation groups which will be needed later. We also establish Lemma 8, which will be important for proving part (d) of Theorem 1. We begin with some basic definitions.

Let d be a positive integer and let G be a subgroup of S_d. A *block* of G is any subset $B \subseteq \{1, \ldots, d\}$ such $gB \cap B$ is either B or the empty set, for all g in G. Every subgroup G has as blocks the empty set, the whole set $\{1, \ldots, d\}$, and all singletons; we call these *trivial blocks*. The *support* of G is the subset of $\{1, \ldots, d\}$ that is acted upon nontrivially by G. A transitive subgroup G is called *primitive* (or is said to *act primitively*) if G has no nontrivial blocks. We often use the words "transitive group of degree d" (respectively "primitive group of degree d") to describe a group that is isomorphic to a transitive (respectively primitive) subgroup of S_d.

It follows immediately that if G is a transitive group of degree d that is not primitive, then $\{1, \ldots, d\}$ can be partitioned into c blocks of size m (this partition is typically denoted m^c), with $cm = d$; we say that G *stabilizes* this partition. (Another way of describing the situation is that G acts on the partition.) A basic example of such a group is the internal semidirect product

$$P_{m^c} := \left(\prod_{i=1}^{c} S_m \right) \rtimes S_c \leq S_d, \tag{11}$$

which is called the *partition stabilizer*, since it is the largest subgroup which stabilizes m^c. The action of this group is such that the i^{th} copy of S_m permutes the elements of the i^{th} block, while the S_c permutes the blocks.

Of course all transitive subgroups of S_d which stabilize m^c are subgroups of P_{m^c}. One important example is the direct product $G_1 \times G_2$, where G_1 is a transitive subgroup of S_m, which acts diagonally (permutes simultaneously within the blocks), and G_2 is a transitive subgroup of S_c, which acts by permuting the blocks. This is the key group theoretic observation needed to prove part (c) of Theorem 1.

The following two results will be used in our proof of part (d) of Theorem 1. Their proofs can be found in [26].

Lemma 4 (Restatement of Proposition 8.5 from [26])**.** *Let d be a positive integer. Let G_1 and G_2 be subgroups of S_d acting primitively on their respective supports Σ_1 and Σ_2. If $\Sigma_1 \cap \Sigma_2 \neq \emptyset$, then the subgroup $\langle G_1, G_2 \rangle$ generated by G_1 and G_2 acts primitively on $\Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2$.*

Lemma 5 (Theorem 13.9 from [26])**.** *Let d be a positive integer and let G be a primitive subgroup of S_d. If G contains a p-cycle for some prime number $p < d - 2$, then either $G = S_d$ or $G = A_d$.*

We will use the following basic result in section 8. Its proof is an easy exercise, but can also be found in [26], Proposition 7.1.

Lemma 6. *If a finite permutation group G acts primitively, then the induced action of every nontrivial normal subgroup of G is transitive.*

We will require the following lemma on primes in intervals.

Lemma 7. *For any integer $d \geq 8$, there exists a prime number $p \in (\frac{d}{2}, d - 2)$.*

Proof. Our lemma can be checked easily for $d = 8, 9, \ldots, 20$, so assume $d > 20$. This means $\frac{d}{2} < \frac{2}{3}d - \frac{10}{3}$. It is known that for any integer $m > 1$, there is a prime $p \in [2m, 3m]$ (proved by M. El Bachraoui in [10]). We have either $d = 3m+3$, $d = 3m+4$, or $d = 3m+5$ for some $m > 1$. In any case, let p be a prime between $2m$ and $3m$: it will satisfy $\frac{2}{3}d - \frac{10}{3} \leq p \leq d-3$, and since $d > 20$, we will have that $\frac{d}{2} < \frac{2}{3}d - \frac{10}{3} < p \leq d - 3$. □

N. B. All that was needed for our purposes was a slight sharpening of Bertrand's Postulate (proved by Chebyshev), which states that there is always a prime in the interval $(m, 2m-2)$ when $m > 3$. We did not make use of the full strength of El Bachraoui's result.

Lemma 8. *If d is an integer greater than or equal to 5, then there exists a prime number $p \in (\frac{d}{2}, d)$ such that if G is a transitive subgroup of S_d containing a $p-$cycle, then either $G = S_d$ or $G = A_d$.*

Proof. The transitive groups of degree d are well-known for small d (GAP has a library of all of them for $d \leq 30$; see [11], [12]). It can be checked easily that we can use $p = 3$ when $d = 5$, and we can use $p = 5$ when $d = 6, 7$; in each of these cases, S_d and A_d are the only transitive subgroups with order divisible by p. Therefore all that remains is to prove our lemma in the case $d \geq 8$.

By Lemma 7 there exists a prime $p \in (\frac{d}{2}, d-2)$. Let G be a transitive subgroup of S_d containing some p-cycle g. Without loss of generality, $g = (1\ 2\ 3\ \cdots\ p)$. Since G is transitive, for each $i \in \{p+1, \ldots, d\}$ there is some element $\sigma_i \in G$ such that $\sigma_i(1) = i$. If we let $g_i = \sigma_i g \sigma_i^{-1}$, then g_i will be a p-cycle in G whose support contains i. Since p is prime, each $\langle g_i \rangle$ acts primitively on its support, which is a set of size p. Since $p > \frac{d}{2}$, the pairwise intersections of the supports of the groups $\langle g_i \rangle$ are nontrivial. Therefore we can apply Lemma 4 inductively to see that the subgroup $H = \langle g, g_{p+1}, g_{p+2}, \ldots, g_d \rangle$ is a primitive subgroup of S_d. Since H contains a p-cycle and $p < d-2$, Lemma 5 tells us that either $H = S_d$ or $H = A_d$, and since $H \leq G$, our proof is complete. □

5. Embedding Problems

In order to establish Theorem 1, we must discuss the embedding problem in Galois theory. Let K/k be a Galois extension of fields, G a finite group, and N a normal subgroup of G with a short exact sequence

$$1 \to N \to G \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathrm{Gal}(K/k) \to 1. \tag{12}$$

This data gives us the *embedding problem* $(K/k, G, N)$. A *solution* to the embedding problem is en extension L/k with $L \supseteq K$ such that $\mathrm{Gal}(L/k) \cong G$ and the natural map $\mathrm{Gal}(L/k) \to \mathrm{Gal}(K/k)$ agrees with ϕ. Hence, a solution to the embedding problem is described by the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & \mathrm{Gal}(L/k) \arrow[d, "\wr"] \arrow[dr] & & \\
1 \arrow[r] & N \arrow[r] & G \arrow[r, "\phi"] & \mathrm{Gal}(K/k) \arrow[r] & 1.
\end{tikzcd} \tag{13}$$

For our purposes, all that is important is finding an extension L/k such that $L \supseteq K$ and $\mathrm{Gal}(L/k) \cong G$, and therefore we will not mention the map ϕ in what follows.

Embedding problems in Galois theory generalize the *inverse Galois problem*, which is the special case $K = k$, i.e. the problem of finding extensions of a field k with prescribed Galois group. We state here the famous result of Shafarevich on this problem, some special cases of which will be used in this paper. A proof of this theorem, as well as a discussion of embedding problems, can be found in [17]. See also [13] for a general reference on the embedding problem.

Theorem 6. *(Shafarevich) Let k be a global field (i.e. a number field or global function field with positive characteristic), and let G be a finite solvable group. Then there exists a Galois extension K/k with $\mathrm{Gal}(K/k) \cong G$.*

One immediately obtains a result which seems much stronger: if k is a global field, G is a finite solvable group, and n is any positive integer, then we can find n extensions $K_1, \ldots, K_n$

of k such that for each $i \neq j$ in $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ we have $\mathrm{Gal}(K_i/k) \cong G$, and $K_i \cap K_j = k$. To see this, notice that since the direct product G^n is solvable, we can realize it as the Galois group of some extension L/k. The fields K_i correspond to the subgroups which are trivial in the i^{th} factor.

Also, if we begin with some other Galois extension K/k with Galois group H, it follows that we can find an extension K'/k with Galois group G such that $K' \cap K = k$. To see this, notice that there are infinitely many fields K_i as above whose pairwise intersections are k, and they cannot all intersect nontrivially with the finite extension H of k. We obtain the following, which will be used to establish part (c) of Theorem 1.

Corollary 2. *Let k be a global field, and let K/k be a Galois extension with Galois group H. Let G be a finite solvable group. Then we can solve the embedding problem $(K/k, G \times H, G)$ arising from the sequence*

$$1 \to G \to G \times H \to H \to 1. \tag{14}$$

We now discuss the embedding problems that will be used in proving Theorem 1. If K is a Galois extension of k that is contained in a degree d compositum L over k, we have the standard short exact sequence

$$1 \to \mathrm{Gal}(L/K) \to \mathrm{Gal}(L/k) \to \mathrm{Gal}(K/k) \to 1. \tag{15}$$

Thus, using Proposition 1, we have that $K \subseteq k^{[d]}$ if and only if the following two conditions are met.

(i) We can find a group H which is a subdirect product of transitive groups of degree d with some normal subgroup N such that there is a short exact sequence

$$1 \to N \to H \to \mathrm{Gal}(K/k) \to 1. \tag{16}$$

(ii) We can solve the corresponding embedding problem, i.e. find $L \supseteq K$, where L is a degree d compositum over k, such that $\mathrm{Gal}(L/k) \cong H$.

Now let K be the splitting field of an irreducible polynomial of degree $m < d$ in $k[x]$. In the case $m = 2$, we must have that $\mathrm{Gal}(K/k) \cong C_2$, and we have the following.

Proposition 3. *Let K/k be a quadratic extension of number fields and let $d \geq 3$. Then there is a solution to the embedding problem $(K/k, S_d, A_d)$ arising from*

$$1 \to A_d \to S_d \to \mathrm{Gal}(K/k) \to 1. \tag{17}$$

This result is due to O. Neumann, and can be found in [18]. This proposition says that, for any integer $d \geq 2$, any quadratic extension of a number field lies in the splitting field of some polynomial of degree d with symmetric Galois group. This will establish part (a) of Theorem 1, and in particular it tells us that $k^{[3]} = k^{(3)}$. At the end of section 6 we give a very elementary proof of the latter in the case where $k = \mathbb{Q}$.

For part (b) we consider the case $m = 3, d = 4$. We must have $\mathrm{Gal}(K/k) \cong S_3$ or C_3, and we will prove the following.

Proposition 4. *Let k be a number field and let $f(x) \in k[x]$ be an irreducible cubic with splitting field K.*

(a) If $\mathrm{Gal}(K/k) \cong S_3$, then there is a solution to the embedding problem $(K/k, S_4, V)$ arising from

$$1 \to V \to S_4 \to \mathrm{Gal}(K/k) \to 1.$$

(b) If $\mathrm{Gal}(K/k) \cong C_3$, then there is a solution to the embedding problem $(K/k, A_4, V)$ arising from

$$1 \to V \to A_4 \to \mathrm{Gal}(K/k) \to 1.$$

This result is not new. In [6], for example, the author discusses quartic fields with a given cubic resolvent. The main idea of the proof is to find a non-square generator of the cubic field with square norm. Propositions 3 and 4 together imply that $k^{[4]} = k^{(4)}$ for any number field k.

To prove part (d) we consider the case $d \geq 5$. We will show that, for certain primes $p < d$, if $\mathrm{Gal}(K/k) \cong C_p$, then there is no possible subdirect product of transitive subgroups of S_d having $\mathrm{Gal}(K/k)$ as a quotient. That is, we cannot even find groups H and N satisfying a short exact sequence as in (16) above. The ideas from group theory needed to see this will be covered in sections 3 and 4.

In summary, we will see that if $d \leq 4$, an irreducible polynomial in $k[x]$ of degree less than d splits in the splitting field of a single irreducible polynomial of degree d. When $d > 4$, however, some irreducible polynomials of degree less than d do not split *in any compositum of such splitting fields.*

We include here a new proof of Proposition 4 using elementary ideas. It is clear that one must find a biquadratic extension L/K (i.e. $\mathrm{Gal}(L/K) \cong V$) with certain properties. We will need the following lemma in order to construct this extension. The proof of this lemma can be found at the end of this section.

Lemma 9. *Let k be a number field, and let $f(x)$ be an irreducible cubic in $k[x]$ with discriminant D and splitting field K. Let $F = k(\sqrt{D})$. There exists a generator α_1 of K/F that is not a square in K, but such that $N_{K/F}(\alpha_1)$ is a square in k. This implies that, if α_2 is a Galois conjugate of α_1 over k, then $\alpha_1\alpha_2$ is also not a square in K.*

Proof of Proposition 4. Our goal is to construct a biquadratic extension L/K in such a way as to ensure L/k will be normal and have the correct Galois group (i.e. S_4 or A_4, corresponding respectively to the cases when the Galois group of $f(x)$ is S_3 or C_3).

By Lemma 9 we can find a generator α_1 of K/F, with Galois conjugates α_2 and α_3, such that $\alpha_1\alpha_2$ is not a square in K. (Notice that this means that $\alpha_2\alpha_3$, $\alpha_1\alpha_3$, α_1, α_2, and α_3 are all also not squares in K.)

Let $\beta_{12} = \sqrt{\alpha_1\alpha_2}$, $\beta_{13} = \sqrt{\alpha_1\alpha_3}$, and $\beta_{23} = \sqrt{\alpha_2\alpha_3}$ for some choices of the square roots. Notice that $\{\pm\beta_{12}, \pm\beta_{13}, \pm\beta_{23}\}$ is a complete set of Galois conjugates over k. Let $L = K(\beta_{12}, \beta_{13})$ (notice that $\beta_{23} \in L$). L is biquadratic, since $\beta_{13} \notin K(\beta_{12})$: if it were, we could write $\beta_{13} = a + b\beta_{12}$ for some $a, b \in K, b \neq 0$; rewriting this as $\beta_{13} - b\beta_{12} = a$ and squaring both sides, we would find that β_{23} was in K, which we know to be untrue. To see that L/k is normal, notice that L is the compositum the normal extensions K/k and $k(\beta_{12}, \beta_{13})/k$.

Let $G = \mathrm{Gal}(L/k)$, so either $|G| = 12$ or $|G| = 24$ (depending on whether or not $F = k$). Both A_4 and S_4 have no element of order 6, and these are the only groups of order 12 and 24, respectively, with this property. One can see this by simply checking all isomorphism types of groups of order 12 and 24. (For a list of the groups of order 24, see Appendix C in [21].) Therefore it will suffice to show that in either case G has no element of order 6. If $\phi \in \mathrm{Gal}(L/k)$ and $\phi|_K$ has order 1 or 2, it is easy to see that ϕ has order 1, 2, or 4. If $\phi|_K$ has order 3, straightforward computations will show that ϕ must have order 3. (One need only check the order of the action on β_{12} and β_{13}.) □

For our proof of Lemma 9 we will need some basic properties of the absolute logarithmic Weil height (or simply "height") of algebraic numbers. The height is defined as follows:

$$h : \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{\times} \to [0, \infty)$$

$$h(\alpha) = \sum_{v} \log^{+} |\alpha|_v, \tag{18}$$

where the sum is taken over all places v of any number field K which contains α. The height is called "absolute" because it is independent of the choice of field. The normalized absolute values $|\ |_v$ are given by $|\alpha|_v = ||\alpha||_v^{\frac{[K_v:\mathbb{Q}_p]}{[K:\mathbb{Q}]}}$, where $||\ ||_v$ is the absolute value that extends the standard one from the place p of $\mathbb{Q}$ (p is either ∞ or a prime number); here $[K_v : \mathbb{Q}_p]$ is the appropriate local degree.

We will use four basic properties of the height:

(i) $h(\alpha) = 0$ if and only if α is a root of unity,
(ii) $h(-\alpha) = h(\alpha)$ for any algebraic number α,
(iii) for any algebraic number α, rational number λ, and any choice of the root α^λ, we have that $h(\alpha^\lambda) = |\lambda|_\infty h(\alpha)$ (in particular, $h(\sqrt{\alpha}) = \frac{1}{2}h(\alpha)$), and
(iv) if K is any number field, every nonempty subset of K contains an element of minimal height.

All of these facts can be found in [2]. The fourth property follows from Northcott's Theorem, which states that the set of algebraic numbers with height at most T and degree at most d is finite for any positive T and d.

Proof of Lemma 9. We will actually find a generator of K/F of norm 1.

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the set of all α in K such that

- $N_{K/F}(\alpha) = 1$,
- α is not a root of unity (i.e. $h(\alpha) > 0$), and
- α generates K/F (i.e. $\alpha \notin F$).

First, we claim that $\mathcal{E}$ is not empty.

Without loss of generality, we may write

$$f(x) = x^3 + px + q \tag{19}$$

with p and q in k, $q \neq 0$. Let ξ_1, ξ_2, and ξ_3 be the roots of $f(x)$. Notice that for any $r \in k$ the roots $\xi_i - r$ of the shifted polynomial $f_r(x) = f(x + r)$ are also generators of K/F. For each $r \in k$, define

$$g_r(x) = \left(x - \frac{\xi_1 - r}{\xi_2 - r}\right)\left(x - \frac{\xi_2 - r}{\xi_3 - r}\right)\left(x - \frac{\xi_3 - r}{\xi_1 - r}\right). \tag{20}$$

It is straightforward to verify that $g_r(x) \in k[x]$, and a routine calculation shows that the discriminant of $g_r(x)$ is

$$\Delta_r = \frac{-27qr^3 + 9p^2r^2 + p^3}{(r^3 + pr + q)^2}. \tag{21}$$

For each $r \in k$, let $\eta_r = \frac{\xi_1 - r}{\xi_2 - r}$ and notice that $N_{K/F}(\eta_r) = 1$. We have that $\mathrm{Gal}(K/F)$ acts transitively on $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3\}$, and hence on $\{\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3\}$. Notice that there are only finitely many roots of unity in K, and only finitely many values of r for which $\Delta_r = 0$. Therefore, since η_r takes on infinitely many values as r varies in k, we may choose a value of r such that $\Delta_r \neq 0$, and η_r is not a root of unity. Since $\Delta_r \neq 0$, the roots of $g_r(x)$ are distinct, so η_r is not fixed by $\mathrm{Gal}(K/F)$. This implies that $\eta_r \notin F$, so $\eta_r \in \mathcal{E}$, proving our claim that $\mathcal{E}$ is not empty.

By property (iv) of the height, there is some $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{E}$ of minimal height. Notice that α_1 is not a square in K – if it were, then either $\sqrt{\alpha_1}$ or $-\sqrt{\alpha_1}$ would be an element of $\mathcal{E}$ of strictly smaller height than α_1, by properties (i), (ii), and (iii). Let α_2 and α_3 be the Galois conjugates of α_1 over k. Since α_1 has norm 1, we have that $\alpha_1\alpha_2 = \alpha_3^{-1}$ is also not a square. (This is a special case of the fact that a generator of a cubic extension K/F with square norm is itself a square in K if and only if a product of the generator and a conjugate is a square in K.) □

6. Proof of Theorem 1

By Proposition 1, parts (a) and (b) follow from our solutions to the embedding problems in Propositions 3 and 4. That is, every irreducible quadratic splits the splitting field of some irreducible cubic, and every irreducible quadratic or cubic splits in the splitting field of some irreducible quartic.

Proof of part (c). Let $d = cm$, and let $f(x)$ be an irreducible polynomial in $k[x]$ of degree c with Galois group G and splitting field K. We must show that $K \subseteq k^{[d]}$.

Let M/k be a cyclic Galois extension of degree m such that $K \cap M = k$, and let $L = KM$. It follows that $\mathrm{Gal}(L/k) \cong G \times C_m$ (here we have used a very special case of corollary 2). As noted in section 4, this is a transitive subgroup of S_d. Therefore, by Proposition 1, we have that L is the splitting field of an irreducible polynomial of degree d over k, hence $L \subseteq k^{[d]}$. □

Proof of part (d). Fix $d \geq 5$, and let $p \in (\frac{d}{2}, d)$ be a prime such that the only transitive subgroups of S_d containing a p-cycle are S_d and A_d. We established the existence of such a prime in Lemma 8. We will prove that $k^{[p]} \not\subseteq k^{[d]}$, which of course will imply that $k^{[d]} \neq k^{(d)}$.

Let K/k be a Galois extension with Galois group C_p (so $K \subseteq k^{[p]}$). Assume that $K \subseteq k^{[d]}$, so K must be contained in a degree d compositum L/k. Let $G = \mathrm{Gal}(L/k)$, so we may write

$$G = (((G_1 \times_{Q_1} G_2) \times_{Q_2} G_3) \times_{Q_3} \cdots \times_{Q_{n-2}} G_{n-1}) \times_{Q_{n-1}} G_n \tag{22}$$

where G_i is a transitive group of degree d for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Let $N = \mathrm{Gal}(L/K)$. N is a normal subgroup of G such that $G/N \cong \mathrm{Gal}(K/k) \cong C_p$.

We have

$$N = (((N_1 \times_{R_1} N_2) \times_{R_2} N_3) \times_{R_3} \cdots \times_{R_{n-2}} N_{n-1}) \times_{R_{n-1}} N_n, \tag{23}$$

where $N_i \trianglelefteq G_i$ for each i.

Using formula (5), we see that

$$p = |G/N| = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} |G_i|}{\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} |Q_i|} \div \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n} |N_i|}{\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} |R_i|} = \prod_i |G_i/N_i| \cdot \prod_i \frac{|R_i|}{|Q_i|}. \tag{24}$$

Claim. *There is some some $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that p divides the order of G_j/N_j.*

We proceed by induction on n, the case $n = 1$ being trivial. We write

$$G_0 = ((G_1 \times_{Q_1} G_2) \times_{Q_2} G_3) \times_{Q_3} \cdots \times_{Q_{n-2}} G_{n-1}, \text{ and} \tag{25}$$

$$N_0 = ((N_1 \times_{R_1} N_2) \times_{R_2} N_3) \times_{R_3} \cdots \times_{R_{n-2}} N_{n-1}, \tag{26}$$

so that $G = G_0 \times_{Q_{n-1}} G_n$ and $N = N_0 \times_{R_{n-1}} N_n$. Formula (24) becomes

$$p = |G_0/N_0| \cdot |G_n/N_n| \cdot \frac{|R_{n-1}|}{|Q_{n-1}|}. \tag{27}$$

We know that G/N surjects onto both G_0/N_0 and G_n/N_n, so the orders of G_0/N_0 and G_n/N_n can only be 1 or p. If either of these orders equals p, we are done, using the inductive hypothesis, and thus we may assume they are both equal to 1. This implies that

$$p = \frac{|R_{n-1}|}{|Q_{n-1}|}. \tag{28}$$

Now p divides $|R_{n-1}|$, which is a quotient of N_n, which is in turn a subgroup of G_n, so p divides the orders of these groups as well. Our choice of p ensures that in this case we must have G_n isomorphic to either S_d or A_d. This means the only possibilities for Q_{n-1} are S_d, A_d, C_2, or 1, and the only possibilities for R_{n-1} are S_d or A_d. Clearly none of these possibilities allows for the equality in (28). The claim has been established.

Thus we see that there is some $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that p divides $|G_j/N_j|$, but since G/N surjects onto G_j/N_j, and $|G/N| = p$, it must be that $|G_j/N_j| = p$ as well. Since p divides

$|G_j|$, we also know that G_j is a transitive subgroup of S_d containing a p-cycle. This means that either $G_j \cong A_d$, which is simple, or $G_j \cong S_d$, whose only nontrivial normal subgroup is A_d. In either case, G_j does not have C_p as a quotient, and this contradiction shows that it was impossible to have $K \subseteq k^{[d]}$, hence $k^{[p]} \not\subseteq k^{[d]}$. ☐

Elementary proof that $\mathbb{Q}^{[3]} = \mathbb{Q}^{(3)}$. It will suffice to show that $\sqrt{p} \in \mathbb{Q}^{[3]}$ whenever p is a rational prime or $p = -1$. Notice that the polynomial

$$f_p(x) = x^3 - 3(3p+1)x - 2(3p+1) \tag{29}$$

has discriminant $p(18 \cdot (3p+1))^2$, so $\sqrt{p}$ is in the splitting field of $f_p(x)$. It is easy to check that $f_p(x)$ is irreducible when p is any prime or -1:

$$f_p(x-1) = x^3 - 3x^2 - 9px + 3p \tag{30}$$

is 3-Eisenstein for $p \neq \pm 3$. When $p = 3$ or $p = -3$, one can easily check that $f_p(x)$ is irreducible using the Rational Root Theorem. We conclude that all quadratic extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$ are contained in $\mathbb{Q}^{[3]}$. ☐

7. UNBOUNDEDNESS RESULTS

We begin with a lemma.

Lemma 10. *Let p be an odd prime number, and let*

$$G = D_p^{n-1} \times C_p = \langle r_1, s_1, \ldots, r_{n-1}, s_{n-1}, r_n \rangle \tag{31}$$

be the direct product of $n-1$ copies of the dihedral group D_p and a cyclic group of order p, where for, $i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$, the i^{th} $D_p = \langle r_i, s_i \rangle$ is generated by the p-cycle r_i and the 2-cycle s_i, and $C_p = \langle r_n \rangle$. Let

$$H = \langle r_1 r_n, r_2 r_n, \ldots, r_{n-1} r_n \rangle \leq G. \tag{32}$$

If B is a subgroup of G with $H \lneq B \leq G$, then $r_n \in B$. In particular, the intersection of all such subgroups B strictly contains H.

Proof. Let $G_p = \langle r_1, \ldots, r_n \rangle$ be the unique Sylow p-subgroup of G, considered as an n-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_p$-vector space. Any Sylow 2-subgroup G_2 will be an $(n-1)$-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_2$-vector space which acts by conjugation on G_p, so that $G = G_p \rtimes G_2$.

Let $H \lneq B \leq G$. Note that H is a codimension 1 subspace of G_p, so if B contains any element of order p not in H, then B contains all of G_p. If B contains any involution $\tau \in G$, notice that there will be some i such that τ acts non-trivially on the i^{th} copy of D_p, so that $\langle r_i r_n, \tau \rangle$ will contain r_n. Since every nontrivial element of G is either order p or an involution, this completes our proof. ☐

Corollary 3. *Let k be a number field, and let p be an odd prime number. Then $k^{[p]}/k$ is unbounded.*

Proof. Let G and H be as in Lemma 8. Let L^H be the fixed field in L of H. It is clear from our construction that $[L^H : k] = p \cdot 2^{n-1}$. The Galois correspondence tells us that every proper subextension of L^H/k corresponds to a subgroup B of G with $H \lneq B \leq G$. Furthermore, since the intersection of all such groups strictly contains H, the compositum of all proper subextensions of L^H/k is strictly a subfield of L^H. This shows that L^H is not generated by elements of degree less than $p \cdot 2^{n-1}$. ☐

Notice that the field extension L^H/k in the proof above is *not* Galois (H is not normal in G). As we will prove in the next section, this was necessarily so.

Proof of part (a) of Theorem 5. By part (c) of Theorem 1, it suffices to show that $k^{[p^2]}/k$ is Galois unbounded for any prime number p. Let n be any positive integer. As explained in section 2, the direct product H_p^n is a solvable group, and therefore there exists an extension L/k with $\mathrm{Gal}(L/k) \cong H_p^n$, by Shafarevich's Theorem (Theorem 6). Since H_p is a transitive group of degree p^2, we know L is contained in $k^{[p^2]}$, by Proposition 1. Let L^N denote the fixed field of the subgroup N defined in (3), so $\mathrm{Gal}(L^N/k) \cong E_{p,n}$.

By Lemma 1, the intersection of all subgroups of $E_{p,n}$ of index less than p^n is nontrivial. Equivalently, the intersection of all subgroups of H_p^n which contain N and have index less than p^n is a subgroup which properly contains N. This means that the compositum of all subextensions of L^N/k of degree less than p^n is a proper subfield of L^N, i.e. L^N is not generated over k by elements of degree less than p^n. □

Proof of part (b) of Theorem 5. Let $d = 2m$, and consider the partition m^2 of $\{1, \ldots, d\}$, i.e. $\{1, \ldots, d\} = \{1, \ldots, m\} \cup \{m+1, \ldots, 2m\}$. Let G_1 be the dihedral group

$$G_1 = \langle r_1, s_1 | r_1^m = s_1^2 = 1, r_1 s_1 = s_1 r_1^{-1} \rangle \tag{33}$$

of order $2m$ acting on $\{1, \ldots, m\}$, and let

$$G_2 = \langle r_2, s_2 | r_2^m = s_2^2 = 1, r_2 s_2 = s_2 r_2^{-1} \rangle \tag{34}$$

be an isomorphic copy of the same group, acting on $\{m+1, \ldots, 2m\}$. Let H be the subgroup of S_d generated by the involution $(1\ (m+1))(2\ (m+2)) \cdots (m\ 2m)$, which interchanges the blocks of the partition. Notice that this involution normalizes $G_1 \times G_2$, so we may consider the semidirect product

$$G := (G_1 \times G_2) \rtimes H. \tag{35}$$

Let $N = \langle r_1, r_2 \rangle$ be the normal subgroup of G generated by the "rotations." The quotient G/N is isomorphic to the dihedral group D_4, which is isomorphic to the Heisenberg group H_2 of order 8.

Let n be any positive integer. Since G is a solvable group, there exists an extension K/k with $K \subseteq k^{[d]}$, such that $\mathrm{Gal}(K/k) \cong G^n$. The fixed field of the normal subgroup N^n is a Galois extension of k with Galois group isomorphic to H_2^n. The theorem now follows as in the proof of part (a). □

Proof of Theorem 3. If $d \leq 2$, then $k^{[d]} = k_{\mathrm{ab}}^{[d]}$, so we may apply Theorem 2. If $d > 2$, then d is divisible by c, where c is either 4 or an odd prime. By Corollary 3 and part (b) of Theorem 5, $k^{[c]}$ is unbounded over k. By part (c) of Theorem 1, this implies that $k^{[d]}/k$ is also unbounded. □

8. GALOIS BOUNDEDNESS IN PRIME DEGREE

In this section we prove Theorem 4. First we will recall the proof of Theorem 2.

Proof of theorem 2. Let k be any field, let d be a positive integer, and let K/k be a finite abelian subextension of $K^{(d)}/k$. Then K must be contained in a field L generated by elements of degree at most d, and such that L/k is Galois. We know $\mathrm{Gal}(L/k)$ is a subdirect product of transitive groups, each of degree at most d. Since $\mathrm{Gal}(L/k)$ is abelian, it is a product of cyclic groups of prime power order. It is clear considering the permutation group structure that none of these prime powers can exceed d. This means $\mathrm{Gal}(L/k)$ is generated by elements of order at most d. Therefore $\mathrm{Gal}(K/k)$ must be generated by elements of order at most d as well, since it is a quotient of the larger Galois group. Hence we may decompose $\mathrm{Gal}(K/k)$ as a direct product of cyclic groups of order no more than d. The subgroups of this product which are trivial in a single factor have index at most d, and the intersection of all of them is trivial. This means that K is the compositum of extensions of k of degree no more than d, so K is generated over k by elements of degree no more than d. □

Clearly the general technique for showing boundedness is to find subgroups of small index inside of a Galois group G, whose intersection is a given subgroup H. If we want to show Galois boundedness, we take H to be normal. This task was trivial in the proof above when the quotient group G/H was abelian, but in general this task can be difficult. In order to prove Theorem 4, we will show that it can be done when G is a subdirect product of transitive groups of prime degree.

If G is a group, the *socle* of G, denoted $\mathrm{Soc}(G)$, is the group generated by the minimal normal subgroups of G. It is easy to see that in general the socle of a finite group is a direct product of simple groups. Every transitive group of prime degree is primitive. The O'Nan-Scott Theorem (see [7], p. 106) gives a classification of primitive groups in terms of their socles, and in the special case where the degree is prime, the theorem reduces to the following result.

Theorem 7 (O'Nan-Scott)**.** *Let p be a prime, and let G be a primitive group of degree p, and let $T = \mathrm{Soc}(G)$. Then one of the following holds:*

- *(i) $T \cong C_p$, and G is of* affine type*, i.e. G is isomorphic to a subgroup of the affine general linear group $\mathrm{AGL}_1(p) \cong C_p \rtimes C_{p-1}$, and G contains the translation subgroup C_p, or*
- *(ii) T is a nonabelian simple group, and G is* almost simple*, i.e. $T \leq G \leq \mathrm{Aut}(T)$.*

The full version of the O'Nan-Scott Theorem includes several other types of groups, but all of the other types must have composite degree.

If G is an almost simple primitive group of prime degree p with $\mathrm{Soc}(G) = T$, as in the theorem above, then by Lemma 6 the normal subgroup T is also a primitive group of degree p. The GAP software package contains a library of primitive groups of degree up to 2500 (see [20]). Using this library we can produce a list of all simple primitive groups of prime degree less than 2500. We present the list here, excluding the cyclic and alternating groups, which appear in every degree.

Table 1.
All non-cyclic, non-alternating simple transitive groups of prime degree < 2500.

simple group	degree
$\mathrm{PSL}_3(2)$	7
$\mathrm{PSL}_2(11)$	11
M_{11}	11
$\mathrm{PSL}_3(3)$	13
$\mathrm{PSL}_2(2^4)$	17
M_{23}	23
$\mathrm{PSL}_3(5)$	31
$\mathrm{PSL}_5(2)$	31
$\mathrm{PSL}_3(2^3)$	73
$\mathrm{PSL}_7(2)$	127
$\mathrm{PSL}_2(2^8)$	257
$\mathrm{PSL}_3(17)$	307
$\mathrm{PSL}_3(3^3)$	757
$\mathrm{PSL}_7(3)$	1093
$\mathrm{PSL}_3(41)$	1723

If G is a transitive group of degree p, then p must divide the order of G, since p is the index of the stabilizer of a point. Since no sporadic simple group has order divisible by any prime greater than 71 (see [14], p. 171), it is apparent from Table 1 that the Mathieu groups M_{11} and M_{23} are the only sporadic simple groups which are transitive groups of prime degree. From looking at Table 1, one might conjecture that the linear groups $\mathrm{PSL}_n(q)$ are the only simple groups of Lie type which are transitive groups of prime degree – we will prove that this is in fact the case.

Table 2 lists all of the finite simple groups L of Lie type, along with their orders and the smallest degree of a nontrivial permutation representation, which we denote by $P(L)$. Note that, for a finite, simple, nonabelian group L, the nontrivial permutation representation of smallest degree is faithful and transitive. The values of $P(L)$ for the classical groups were taken from [14], p. 175. The values for the exceptional groups of Lie type were computed by A.V. Vasil′ev in [23], [24], and [25], using a result of Liebeck and Saxl (see [15]). The values of $P(L)$ in Table 2 are correct, with 11 exceptions, which are all groups of order less than 2500. These exceptions appear in Table 3.

Proposition 5. *Let L be a finite simple group of Lie type, and let $P(L)$ denote the smallest degree of a nontrivial permutation representation of L.*

- *(a) If L is of the form* $\mathrm{PSL}_n(q)$*, then L has a primitive permutation representation of prime degree if and only if $P(L)$ is prime.*
- *(b) If L is not of the form* $\mathrm{PSL}_n(q)$*, then L has no nontrivial permutation representation of prime degree.*

Proof. Suppose $L = \mathrm{PSL}_n(q)$ is a primitive group of prime degree p. Notice that p must divide $|L|$ and that $p \geq P(L)$. Using this, part (a) is trivial to verify for the groups listed in Table 3. Hence we may assume $p > 11$, so that $P(L) = \frac{q^n-1}{q-1}$. In this case, it is clear that $p \leq (q^n-1)/(q-1)$, since p must divide $|L|$. On the other hand, p must be at least as large as $P(L) = (q^n-1)/(q-1)$. This shows that the only possibility is $p = (q^n-1)/(q-1) = P(L)$, and therefore $\mathrm{PSL}_n(q)$ is a primitive group of prime degree if and only if $P(L)$ is prime. This establishes part (a).

Now suppose L is any of the other finite simple groups of Lie type. First, we can verify $P(L)$ is not prime by using the formulas for $P(L)$ given in Tables 2 and 3. For most of the groups in the table, this is immediate. For the groups ${}^2B_2(q)$, $q = 2^{2m+1}$, notice that $P(L) = q^2 + 1$ is always divisible by 5. Secondly, we can check that no prime larger than $P(L)$ divides $|L|$, as we did above for $L = \mathrm{PSL}_n(q)$. Part (b) of our proposition follows. $\square$

It is worth mentioning that a famous Theorem of Burnside (see [26], Theorem 11.7, p. 29) states that a primitive group of prime degree is either solvable (corresponding to case (i) in Theorem 7) or doubly transitive (corresponding to case (ii)). We are able to be more specific, as there are doubly transitive simple groups (see [7], section 7.7) which are not primitive groups of prime degree.

We can now establish the following lemma.

Lemma 11. *Let p be a prime number, and let G be a transitive group of degree p. Let $T = \mathrm{Soc}(G)$. Then T is simple (i.e. T is the unique minimal normal subgroup of G), and we have $G = T \rtimes B$, where B is an abelian group of order less than p. Moreover, the exponent of B divides $p-1$.*

Proof. Let G be a transitive (hence primitive) group of degree p, and let $T = \mathrm{Soc}(G)$. By Lemma 6 we know that T is itself a primitive group of degree p. If G is an affine type group, then G is the semidirect product of $T = C_p$ with a cyclic group of order dividing $p-1$. By Theorem 7, the only other possibility is that there is a nonabelian simple group T such that $T \leq G \leq \mathrm{Aut}(T)$. As we have argued above, T must be isomorphic to either M_{11} (only when $p = 11$), M_{23} (only when $p = 23$), A_p (when p is a prime at least 5), or $\mathrm{PSL}_n(q)$ (only when $p = (q^n-1)/(q-1)$).

We have $\mathrm{M}_{11} = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{M}_{11})$ and $\mathrm{M}_{23} = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{M}_{23})$. (See [14], p. 171, for a table of data on the sporadic groups; see [7], chapter 6, for a more in depth treatment of the Mathieu groups.) Therefore, if T is one of these sporadic groups, we have $G = T$. For p a prime at least 5, we have $\mathrm{Aut}(A_p) \cong S_p = A_p \rtimes C_2$ (see [27], section 2.4), so if T is alternating, then G is either alternating or symmetric, and our lemma is trivial in this case as well. All that remains is to verify our lemma in the case that $T \cong \mathrm{PSL}_n(q)$. By part (a) of Proposition 5, we must have $p = (q^n-1)/(q-1)$ in this case.

Let $T = \mathrm{PSL}_n(q)$, and let $d = (n, q-1)$. (It is not important for our argument, but d is the order of the group of "diagonal" automorphisms of T. The values of d for all the groups of Lie type are given in Table 2, as they simplify the formulas for the orders of the groups.) Notice that

$$p = \frac{q^n-1}{q-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} q^i = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} [(q-1)+1]^i. \tag{36}$$

Expanding each term using the binomial theorem, we see that $p \equiv n \pmod{q-1}$, so d divides p. Since $d < p$, this implies that $d = 1$. It is well known (see for example [27], p. 50) that $\mathrm{Out}(\mathrm{PSL}_n(q)) \cong C_f \times D_d$, where $q = l^f$ with l prime. Since $d = 1$, this means that $\mathrm{Out}(T)$ is an abelian group of order $2f$, which is less than p. By a theorem of Lucchini, Menegazzo, and Morigi (see [16]), we know that $\mathrm{PSL}_n(q)$ is complemented in its automorphism group if and only if $(\frac{q-1}{d}, d, f) = 1$. In our case this condition holds, since we have already shown that $d = 1$. Therefore $\mathrm{Aut}(T) \cong T \rtimes \mathrm{Out}(T)$, and so we must have $G \cong T \rtimes B$, with B isomorphic to a subgroup of $\mathrm{Out}(T)$.

In order to prove the final claim, it remains only to show that f divides $p-1$. Notice that

$$l^{nf} - 1 = q^n - 1 = (q-1)p \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \tag{37}$$

hence $l^{nf} \equiv 1 \mod p$. It is easy to check that in fact nf is the order of l in the multiplicative group of units modulo p, so we have that nf divides $p-1$, and therefore so does f. □

Next, we generalize this lemma to subdirect products.

Lemma 12. *Let p be a prime number and let G be a finite subdirect product of transitive groups of degree p. Then we have*

$$G = \mathrm{Soc}(G) \rtimes B, \tag{38}$$

where B is isomorphic to a product of cyclic groups, each of order less than p. Moreover, the exponent of B divides $p-1$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on n, the case $n = 1$ following from Lemma 11. Suppose G is a subdirect product of $G_0 \times G_n$, where G_0 is a subdirect product of $n-1$ transitive groups of degree p. By Goursat's Lemma, we may write $G = G_0 \times_{Q,\alpha_0,\alpha_n} G_n$. By Lemma 11, we have $G_n = T_n \rtimes B_n$, with $T_n = \mathrm{Soc}(G_n)$ a simple group and B_n an abelian group of order at most p. By the inductive hypothesis, we may write $G_0 = \mathrm{Soc}(G_0) \rtimes B_0$, as in the statement of the lemma. Notice that $\mathrm{Soc}(G) = G \cap (T_0 \times T_n)$, and let $B = G \cap (B_0 \times B_n)$.

Let $R = \alpha_n(T_n)$ and $S = \alpha_n(B_n)$, so that $T = T_0 \times_R T_n$, and $B = B_0 \times_S B_n$. We claim that

$$R \cap S = 1. \tag{39}$$

Since T_n is the unique minimal normal subgroup of G_n, we have that either $\ker(\alpha_n) = 1$ or $\ker(\alpha_n) \supseteq T_n$. If $\ker(\alpha_n) = 1$, then $R = T_n$ and $S = B_n$. If $\ker(\alpha_n) \supseteq T_n$, then $R = 1$; in either case, (39) is established. Now Lemma 3 tells us that $G = T \rtimes B$.

Finally, notice that since B is a subdirect product of groups with exponent dividing $p-1$, it follows immediately that B must have exponent dividing $p-1$ as well.

□

We are now ready to establish the main group theoretic result, of which Theorem 4 will be an immediate corollary.

Theorem 8. *Let p be a prime number and let G be a finite subdirect product of transitive groups of degree p. If N is a normal subgroup of G, then N is an intersection of subgroups of index at most p in G.*

Proof. Let $G_1, \cdots, G_n$ be transitive groups of degree p, and let $G \leq_{sd} G_1 \times \cdots \times G_n$. Let $T = \mathrm{Soc}(G)$. We will write π_i for the projection maps $G \twoheadrightarrow G_i$. For $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, let G_i act primitively on $\{(i,1), \ldots, (i,p)\}$, and write $G_i = T_i \rtimes B_i$, as in Lemma 11. By Lemma 12, we have that $G = T \rtimes B$, where B is a product of cyclic groups, each of order less than p. Notice that T is a subdirect product of $\mathcal{T} := T_1 \times \cdots \times T_n$, where each T_i is a simple group which acts primitively on $\{(i,1), \ldots, (i,p)\}$. Without loss of generality we may assume that there is some $m \leq n$ such that $T_1, \ldots, T_m$ are nonabelian simple groups, and $T_{m+1}, \ldots, T_n$ are all isomorphic to C_p.

Let $\mathcal{A} = T_1 \times \cdots \times T_m$ and $\mathcal{V} = T_{m+1} \times \cdots \times T_n$, so $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{V}$.

Let $A = G \cap \mathcal{A}$, and $V = G \cap \mathcal{V}$. Notice that $A \leq_{sd} \mathcal{A}$, and $V \leq_{sd} \mathcal{V}$. Obviously $T \leq_{sd} A \times V$. If T were a proper subdirect product, then, by Goursat's Lemma, A and V

would have a common nontrivial quotient. However, V is abelian, and it is clear that all nontrivial quotients of A are nonabelian. Thus we see

$$T = A \times V. \tag{40}$$

We now have

$$G = (A \times V) \rtimes B. \tag{41}$$

Let N be a normal subgroup of G. For $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, let $N_i = \pi_i(N) \trianglelefteq G_i$, and let $\mathcal{N} = N_1 \times \cdots \times N_n$. We claim that we can write $N_i = T_i^0 \rtimes B_i^0$ with $T_i^0 \leq T_i$ and $B_i^0 \leq B_i$. Since $T_i = \mathrm{Soc}(G_i)$ is the unique minimal normal subgroup of G_i, we must have either $N_i = 1$ (in which case our claim follows trivially), or $N_i \supseteq T_i$. In the latter case we can set $T_i^0 = T_i$, and let B_i^0 be an appropriate compliment.

Let $T^0 = N \cap \mathcal{T}$, $A^0 = N \cap \mathcal{A}$, $V^0 = N \cap \mathcal{V}$, and $B^0 = N \cap \mathcal{B}$. Clearly $T^0 \leq T$, $A^0 \leq A$, $V^0 \leq V$, and $B^0 \leq B$. As with the corresponding subgroups of G, we see that

$$T^0 = A^0 \times V^0. \tag{42}$$

By a the same reasoning by which we verified equation (38), we see that

$$N = T^0 \rtimes B^0 = (A^0 \times V^0) \rtimes B^0. \tag{43}$$

By Lemma 2, we have

$$A^0 = \mathcal{A}^0 \cap A. \tag{44}$$

Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the set of all points $(i, j) \in \{1, \ldots, m\} \times \{1, \ldots, p\}$ such that T_i^0 is trivial. Suppose $a \in A$, but $a \notin A^0$. By (44), we have $a \notin \mathcal{A}^0$, which means that for some $i \in \mathcal{I}$ we have $\pi_i(a) \notin T_i^0$. This means that $\pi_i(a)$ is a nontrivial permutation of $\{(i, 1), \ldots, (i, p)\}$, and hence there is some $j \in \{1, \ldots, p\}$ such that $a \notin \mathrm{Stab}_A(i, j)$. This proves that $\bigcap_{(i,j)\in\mathcal{I}} \mathrm{Stab}_A(i, j) \subseteq A^0$, and the reverse inclusion is trivial, establishing

$$A^0 = \bigcap_{(i,j)\in\mathcal{I}} \mathrm{Stab}_A(i, j). \tag{45}$$

Now we turn our attention to V and V^0. Notice that V and V^0 must each be isomorphic to an elementary abelian p-group, and each is normalized by B. Therefore we may regard V as a finite-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_p$-linear B-representation, and V^0 is a subrepresentation. The order of B is relatively prime to p, so by Maschke's Theorem (see [9], section 18.1) we know that V^0 has a complement in V, i.e. there is a subrepresentation V' such that the representation V decomposes as

$$V = V^0 \oplus V'. \tag{46}$$

By Maschke's Theorem we know that every B-representation over $\mathbb{F}_p$ is completely decomposable, i.e. can be written as a direct sum of irreducible representations. Next observe that, by Lemma 12, the exponent of B divides $p - 1$. Therefore by a theorem of Brauer (see [19], Theorem 2.7B, p. 58), it follows that every irreducible B-representation over $\mathbb{F}_p$ is 1-dimensional. Thus we may write V' as a direct sum of irreducible B-subrepresentations, each of which must be 1-dimensional.

Using this, we can realize V^0 as the intersection of s codimension 1 subrepresentations $W_1, \ldots, W_s$ of V, where s is the dimension of V'. We translate back to the language of the groups under discussion: for $k \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$, the subspace W_k is a subgroup of index p in V, and W_k is normalized by B, so we have a subgroup $(A \times W_k) \rtimes B$ of index p in G.

Next, consider the abelian groups $B^0 \leq B$. Since B is a subdirect product of abelian groups of order less than p, B/B^0 is isomorphic to a product of cyclic groups of order less than p. Let t be the number of cyclic factors. Omitting each of these cyclic factors, one at a time, we see that we have a collection of subgroups of B/B^0 of index less than p

whose intersection is trivial. This means that B^0 is the intersection of some subgroups H_r, $r \in \{1, \ldots, t\}$, each of index less than p in B. Clearly we have that

$$T \rtimes B^0 = \bigcap_{r \in \{1,\ldots,t\}} (T \rtimes H_r). \tag{47}$$

Now we claim that

$$N = \left[\bigcap_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{I}} \mathrm{Stab}_G(i,j) \right] \bigcap \left[\bigcap_{k \in \{1,\ldots,s\}} ((A \times W_k) \rtimes B) \right] \bigcap \left[\bigcap_{r \in \{1,\ldots,t\}} (T \rtimes H_r) \right]. \tag{48}$$

Write N' for the right hand side of (48). Since N is contained in each of the subgroups considered on the right hand side, we have $N \leq N'$. Now suppose that $g \in N'$. Since $g \in T \rtimes B^0 = (A \times V) \rtimes B^0$, from (38) and (47) above, we can write $g = avb$ for unique choices of $a \in A$, $v \in V$, and $b \in B$. It is clear that $v \in \bigcap_{k \in \{1,\ldots,s\}} W_k = V^0$, and similarly that $b \in \bigcap_{r \in \{1,\ldots,t\}} H_r = B^0$.

Since $g \in \bigcap_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{I}} \mathrm{Stab}_G(i,j)$, we know $\pi_i(g) = \pi_i(avb)$ acts as the trivial permutation on $\{(i,1), \ldots, (i,p)\}$, for each index i from 1 to m such that T_i^0 is trivial. Since v does not permute these elements, the only way this is possible is that $\pi_i(a)$ and $\pi_i(b)$ induce inverse permutations of each other on $\{(i,1), \ldots, (i,p)\}$. This implies that $\pi_i(a)$ acts as the identity permutation on $\{(i,1), \ldots, (i,p)\}$, since $\pi_i(A) = T_i$ and $\pi_i(B) = B_i$ have trivial intersection. From this we see that $a \in A^0$, by (45).

Now we have $a \in A^0$, $v \in V^0$, and $b \in B^0$, so $N = N'$ by (43). Since each of the subgroups in our intersection has index at most p in G, our proof is complete. ☐

Proof of Theorem 4. Let k be any field, and let K/k be a finite Galois subextension of $k^{[p]}/k$, where p is prime. This implies that K is contained in a degree p compositum L/k. Let $G = \mathrm{Gal}(L/k)$ and $N = \mathrm{Gal}(L/K)$. Then G isomorphic to a subdirect product of transitive groups of degree p, and N is normal in G. Theorem 8 implies that N is an intersection of subgroups of index at most p in G. By the Galois correspondence, this means that K is the compositum of finitely many extensions of k of degree at most p. Therefore, K/k is generated by elements of degree at most p. (In fact, it must be generated by elements of degree p and or elements of degree dividing $p-1$.) ☐

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank the following people: Daniel Allcock, for some good conversations on group theory; Sara Checcoli, for various communications involving the boundedness problem; Joseph Gunther, for observing that equation (37) could be used so simplify our proof of Theorem 8; Frank Thorne, for some useful communications involving quartic fields with a given cubic resolvent; Jeffrey Vaaler, for numerous pieces of advice and insight, including pointing out the polynomial used in the elementary proof that $\mathbb{Q}^{[3]} = \mathbb{Q}^{(3)}$; Andrey Vasil′ev, for clarifying some points on the minimal permutation representations of finite simple groups. We also wish to emphasize that this paper would have been impossible to write without using GAP, and in particular the libraries of transitive and primitive groups.

9. TABLES OF FINITE SIMPLE GROUPS OF LIE TYPE

Table 2a. Simple classical groups of Lie type (taken from [14]).

L	d	$\lvert L\rvert$	$P(L)$
$\mathrm{PSL}_n(q)$[††], $n \geq 2$	$(n, q-1)$	$\frac{1}{d} q^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \prod_{i=2}^{n} (q^i - 1)$	$\frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1}$[‡]
$\mathrm{PSp}_{2m}(q), m \geq 2, q \geq 3$	$(2, q-1)$	$\frac{1}{d} q^{m^2} \prod_{i=1}^{m} (q^{2i} - 1)$	$\frac{q^{2m} - 1}{q - 1}$[‡]
$\mathrm{Sp}_{2m}(2)$[††], $m \geq 3$	1	$2^{m^2} \prod_{i=1}^{m} (2^{2i} - 1)$	$2^{m-1}(2^m - 1)$
$\mathrm{P}\Omega_{2m+1}(q), m \geq 3, q$ odd, ≥ 5	2	$\frac{1}{2} q^{m^2} \prod_{i=1}^{m} (q^{2i} - 1)$	$\frac{q^{2m} - 1}{q - 1}$
$\mathrm{P}\Omega_{2m+1}(3), m \geq 3$	2	$\frac{1}{2} 3^{m^2} \prod_{i=1}^{m} (3^{2i} - 1)$	$\frac{1}{2} 3^m (3^m - 1)$
$\mathrm{P}\Omega^+_{2m}(q), m \geq 4, q \geq 3$	$(4, q^m - 1)$	$\frac{1}{d} q^{m(m-1)} (q^m - 1) \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} (q^{2i} - 1)$	$\frac{(q^m - 1)(q^{m-1} + 1)}{q - 1}$
$\mathrm{P}\Omega^+_{2m}(2), m \geq 4$	$(4, q^m - 1)$	$\frac{1}{d} q^{m(m-1)} (q^m - 1) \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} (q^{2i} - 1)$	$\frac{(q^m - 1)(q^{m-1} + 1)}{q - 1}$
$\mathrm{P}\Omega^-_{2m}(q), m \geq 4$	$(4, q^m + 1)$	$\frac{1}{d} q^{m(m-1)} (q^m + 1) \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} (q^{2i} - 1)$	$\frac{(q^m + 1)(q^{m-1} - 1)}{q - 1}$
$\mathrm{PSU}_3(q)$[††]	$(3, q+1)$	$\frac{1}{d} q^6 \prod_{i=2}^{3} (q^i - (-1)^i)$	$q^3 + 1$
$\mathrm{PSU}_4(q)$	$(4, q+1)$	$\frac{1}{d} q^6 \prod_{i=2}^{4} (q^i - (-1)^i)$	$(q^3 + 1)(q + 1)$
$\mathrm{PSU}_n(q), n \geq 5, (n, q) \neq (6m, 2)$	$(n, q+1)$	$\frac{1}{d} q^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \prod_{i=2}^{n} (q^i - (-1)^i)$	$\frac{(q^n - (-1)^n)(q^{n-1} - (-1)^{n-1})}{q^2 - 1}$[‡]
$\mathrm{PSU}_n(2), 6 \mid n$	$(n, q+1)$	$\frac{1}{d} q^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \prod_{i=2}^{n} (q^i - (-1)^i)$	$\frac{2^{n-1}(2^n - 1)}{3}$

[††] N.B. The groups $\mathrm{PSL}_2(2)$, $\mathrm{PSL}_2(3)$, $\mathrm{PSU}_3(2)$, and $\mathrm{Sp}_4(2)$ are not simple.

[‡] See footnote on next page.

Table 2b. Simple exceptional groups of Lie type (taken from [23], [24], and [25]).

$G_2(q)$	1	$q^6(q^2-1)(q^6-1)$	$\frac{q^6-1}{q-1}$[‡]
$F_4(q)$	1	$q^{24}\prod_{i=2,6,8,12}(q^i-1)$	$\frac{(q^{12}-1)(q^4+1)}{q-1}$
$E_6(q)$	$(3,q-1)$	$\frac{1}{d}q^{36}\prod_{i=2,5,6,8,9,12}(q^i-1)$	$\frac{(q^9-1)(q^8+q^4+1)}{q-1}$
$E_7(q)$	$(2,q-1)$	$\frac{1}{d}q^{63}\prod_{i=2,6,8,10,12,14,18}(q^i-1)$	$\frac{(q^{14}-1)(q^9+1)(q^5+1)}{q-1}$
$E_8(q)$	1	$q^{120}\prod_{i=2,8,12,14,18,20,24,30}(q^i-1)$	$\frac{(q^{30}-1)(q^{12}+1)(q^{10}+1)(q^6+1)}{q-1}$
${}^2B_2(q), q=2^{2m+1}$	1	$q^2(q^2+1)(q-1)$	q^2+1
${}^2G_2(q), q=3^{2m+1}$	1	$q^3(q^3+1)(q-1)$	q^3+1
${}^2F_4(q), q=2^{2m+1}$	1	$q^{12}(q^6+1)(q^4-1)(q^3+1)(q-1)$	$(q^6+1)(q^3+1)(q+1)$
${}^3D_4(q)$	1	$q^{12}(q^8+q^4+1)(q^6-1)(q^2-1)$	$(q^8+q^4+1)(q+1)$
${}^2E_6(q)$	$(3,q+1)$	$\frac{1}{d}q^{36}\prod_{i=2,5,6,8,9,12}(q^i-(-1)^i)$	$\frac{(q^{12}-1)(q^6-q^3+1)(q^4+1)}{q-1}$

Table 3. Values of $P(L)$ that deviate from the formulas above
(taken from [14], [23], and [25]).

L	$\lvert L\rvert$	$P(L)$
$\mathrm{PSL}_2(5)$	60 ($\cong A_5$)	5
$\mathrm{PSL}_2(7)$	168	7
$\mathrm{PSL}_2(3^3)$	360 ($\cong A_6$)	6
$\mathrm{PSL}_2(11)$	660	11
$\mathrm{PSL}_4(2)$	$\frac{1}{2}8!$ ($\cong A_8$)	8
$\mathrm{PSp}_4(3)$	$2^6\cdot 3^4\cdot 5$	27
$\mathrm{Sp}_4(2)'$	360 ($\cong A_6$)	6
$\mathrm{PSU}_3(5)$	$2^4\cdot 3^2\cdot 5^3\cdot 7$	50
$G_2(3)$	$2^6\cdot 3^6\cdot 7\cdot 13$	351
$G_2(2^2)$	$2^{12}\cdot 3^3\cdot 5^2\cdot 7\cdot 13$	416
${}^2F_4(2)'$	$2^{11}\cdot 3^3\cdot 5^2\cdot 11$	1600

[‡] There are 11 values of $P(L)$ which deviate from those given in Table 2. Correct values are given in Table 3, as well as for $\mathrm{Sp}_4(2)'$ and ${}^2F_4(2)'$ (the Tits group), the only finite simple groups of Lie type not appearing in Table 2.

References

[1] Yakov Berkovich. *Groups of prime power order. Vol. 1*, volume 46 of *de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics*. Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co. KG, Berlin, 2008. With a foreword by Zvonimir Janko.

[2] Enrico Bombieri and Walter Gubler. *Heights in Diophantine geometry*, volume 4 of *New Mathematical Monographs*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.

[3] Enrico Bombieri and Umberto Zannier. A note on heights in certain infinite extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$. *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. Rend. Lincei (9) Mat. Appl.*, 12:5–14 (2002), 2001.

[4] Sara Checcoli. Fields of algebraic numbers with bounded local degrees and their properties. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, (to appear in print), 2011.

[5] Sara Checcoli and Martin Widmer. On the northcott property and other properties related to polynomial mappings. *Math Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, (to appear in print), 2011.

[6] Henri Cohen. Counting A_4 and S_4 number fields with given resolvent cubic. In *High primes and misdemeanours: lectures in honour of the 60th birthday of Hugh Cowie Williams*, volume 41 of *Fields Inst. Commun.*, pages 159–168. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004.

[7] John D. Dixon and Brian Mortimer. *Permutation groups*, volume 163 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.

[8] Klaus Doerk and Trevor Hawkes. *Finite soluble groups*, volume 4 of *de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics*. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1992.

[9] David S. Dummit and Richard M. Foote. *Abstract algebra*. John Wiley & Sons Inc., Hoboken, NJ, third edition, 2004.

[10] M. El Bachraoui. Primes in the interval $[2n, 3n]$. *Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sci.*, 1(13-16):617–621, 2006.

[11] The GAP Group. *GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.5.4*, 2012.

[12] Alexander Hulpke. *Transitive permutation groups - A GAP data library. www.gap-system.org/Datalib/trans.html.*

[13] V. V. Ishkhanov, B. B. Lur′e, and D. K. Faddeev. *The embedding problem in Galois theory*, volume 165 of *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997. Translated from the 1990 Russian original by N. B. Lebedinskaya.

[14] Peter Kleidman and Martin Liebeck. *The subgroup structure of the finite classical groups*, volume 129 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.

[15] Martin W. Liebeck and Jan Saxl. On the orders of maximal subgroups of the finite exceptional groups of Lie type. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 55(2):299–330, 1987.

[16] A. Lucchini, F. Menegazzo, and M. Morigi. On the existence of a complement for a finite simple group in its automorphism group. *Illinois J. Math.*, 47(1-2):395–418, 2003. Special issue in honor of Reinhold Baer (1902–1979).

[17] Jürgen Neukirch, Alexander Schmidt, and Kay Wingberg. *Cohomology of number fields*, volume 323 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 2008.

[18] Olaf Neumann. On the imbedding of quadratic extensions into Galois extensions with symmetric group. In *Proceedings of the conference on algebraic geometry (Berlin, 1985)*, volume 92 of *Teubner-Texte Math.*, pages 285–295, Leipzig, 1986. Teubner.

[19] B. M. Puttaswamaiah and John D. Dixon. *Modular representations of finite groups*. Academic Press [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publishers], New York, 1977. Pure and Applied Mathematics, No. 73.

[20] Colva Roney-Dougal et al. *Primitive permutation groups - A GAP data library. www.gap-system.org/Datalib/prim.html.*

[21] H. E. Rose. *A course on finite groups*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag London Ltd., London, 2009.

[22] John S. Rose. *A course on group theory*. Dover Publications Inc., New York, 1994. Reprint of the 1978 original [Dover, New York; MR0498810 (58 #16847)].

[23] A. V. Vasil′ev. Minimal permutation representations of finite simple exceptional groups of types G_2 and F_4. *Algebra i Logika*, 35(6):663–684, 752, 1996.

[24] A. V. Vasil′ev. Minimal permutation representations of finite simple exceptional groups of types E_6, E_7 and E_8. *Algebra i Logika*, 36(5):518–530, 599–600, 1997.

[25] A. V. Vasil′ev. Minimal permutation representations of finite simple exceptional groups of twisted type. *Algebra i Logika*, 37(1):17–35, 122, 1998.

[26] Helmut Wielandt. *Finite permutation groups*. Translated from the German by R. Bercov. Academic Press, New York, 1964.

[27] Robert A. Wilson. *The finite simple groups*, volume 251 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag London Ltd., London, 2009.

Department of Mathematics
The University of Texas at Austin
1 University Station C1200
Austin, TX 78712-0257, U.S.A.
E-mail: igal@math.utexas.edu
rgrizzard@math.utexas.edu